

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/775,791	Applicant(s) KESAL ET AL.	
	Examiner NADIA KHOSHNOODI	Art Unit 2437	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) NADIA KHOSHNOODI. (3) ____.

(2) MR. DAVID FRANKLIN. (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 09 January 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 21, 31 and 32.

Identification of prior art discussed: none.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner suggested that cancelling independent claims 1 & 15, along with subsequent dependent claims would put this application in condition for allowance. Examiner also suggested other minor changes for independent claims 21 and 31-32. Mr. Franklin, Applicant's Representative, authorized the Examiner to make these changes in an Examiner's Amendment.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Nadia Khoshnoodi/
Examiner, Art Unit 2437